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Introduction – Vorticity
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2. Methodology for further investigations

Biot-savart law – Integration over $z$

\[ I = C \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{a' + b'z}{(a + bz + cz^2)^{3/2}} \, dz \, d\theta = C \int_0^{2\pi} I_z \, d\theta \]

(Pierce, 1899)

\[ I_z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} + \frac{2(2ab' - a'b)}{\sqrt{a} \, (4ac - b^2)} + \frac{4c(a' - a) + b(b - 2b')}{\sqrt{c} \, (4ac - b^2)} \]

(Suitable for analytical expressions - Work of Coleman)

\[ I_z = \frac{2(a'\sqrt{c} + b'\sqrt{a})}{\sqrt{ac} (2\sqrt{ac} + b)} \]

("Suitable" for numerical integration - Work of Castles)
2. Methodology for further investigations

Longitudinal vorticity – semi-infinite lines

Biot-Savart law for semi-infinite line:

\[ u(x) = \frac{\Gamma}{4\pi r_1} \frac{e \times r_1}{(r_1 - e \cdot r_1)} \]
3. Tangential vorticity
3. Tangential vorticity

**Axial component**

\[ u_{z,t}(r, \psi, \chi) = u_{z,0} \left( 1 + K_{z,t}(r, \chi) \sin \psi \right) \]

\[ K_{z,t,\text{approx}} \approx \frac{\partial K_{z,t}}{\partial r} \bigg|_{r=0} = \frac{r}{R} \tan \frac{\chi}{2} \]

Inflowing wind
3. Tangential vorticity

In-plane component for various skew angles

(a) $\chi = 0^\circ$

(b) $\chi = 30^\circ$

(c) $\chi = 60^\circ$

$$u_{\psi,t,\text{approx}} = -u_{z,0} \tan \frac{\chi}{2} \sin \psi$$
4. Longitudinal vorticity

Root vortex

\[
\begin{align*}
u_{z,r} &= \frac{\Gamma_r}{4\pi r(1 - \cos \psi \sin \chi)} \sin \psi \sin \chi \\
u_{\psi,r} &= \frac{\Gamma_r}{4\pi r(1 - \cos \psi \sin \chi)} \cos \chi = u_{\psi,r}(r = 0, \chi = 0)K_{\psi,r}(\psi, \chi)
\end{align*}
\]  

(See also WEH)
4. Longitudinal vorticity

Tip-vortices
4. Longitudinal vorticity

Tip-vortices – Axial component

\[ u_z, l(r, \psi, \chi) = -\gamma n K_z, h(r, \chi) \sin(2\psi) \]
4. Longitudinal vorticity

Tip-vortices – In-plane components

(a) $\chi = 0^\circ$

(b) $\chi = 30^\circ$

(c) $\chi = 60^\circ$
5. Putting pieces together

Relating vorticity intensity

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_b &= \frac{\Gamma_{tot}}{n_B} \\
\Gamma_r &= -\Gamma_{tot}e_\zeta \\
\Gamma_h &= \Gamma_b \\
\Gamma_I &= \Gamma_b e_\zeta \\
\Gamma_t &= -\Gamma_b e_\psi
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\gamma_t = -\frac{\Gamma_{tot}}{h/\cos \chi} e_\psi
\]

\[
\gamma_I = \frac{\Gamma_{tot}}{2\pi R} e_\zeta
\]
5. Putting pieces together

Amplitude comparison – Small pitch

Axial velocity

\[ \frac{u_{z,l}}{u_{z,0}} = 0.5\% \]

Tangential velocity

\[ \frac{u_{\psi,l}}{u_{z,0}} = 4\% \]
5. Putting pieces together

How good is this projection?
Conclusions

• Full velocity field from longitudinal and tangential vorticity obtained with combined analytical and numerical integration

• Simple approximations or empirical formulae can be derived for implementation in BEM codes

• Influence of longitudinal tip-vorticity is small compared to other components
Future work

- Implementation in BEM
- Comparison with free-wake vortex code and experiments
- Relaxing infinite number of blade assumption (tip-losses)
- Relaxing the constant circulation hypothesis
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